

IN THE UNITED STATES MIDDLE DISTRICT

COURTNEY BOYD # 208921 RECEIVED
Pl. 100766 2007 JUN -7 1 A 931

vs.
Dr. Darbaze et al
Defendants

Case NO: 2:06-CV-511-ZKW

Objecting TO THE Court Order entered
ON 5-30-07.

Come now, The plaintiffs Courtney Boyd, moves
into this Honorable Court objecting to the Court Order
entered on 5-30-07. The plaintiffs submit the following:
1. The Defendants did not answer these interrogatory
on their own. But their Counsel answer them. Their response
to the Court Order is wrong, because the plaintiffs is
not arguing that the unsigned interrogatory, but that the
Defendants did not answer the interrogatory. The plaintiffs
asked that the Defendants produce their full Complete educational
back ground. Not one of the Defendants answer or produce a
Clear Copy of them. They did not even list what year it
was they got these Degree. The Defendant was order to
produce a Clear Copy of their full Complete educational back
ground. This means that they were to show their Copy of the
every First time they went to school. See the Defendants Exhibit
to their Response.

the other hand, the plaintiffs asked that the Defendants

LEGAL USE ONLY

If they ever had a Complaint or Serious Complaint or grievance filed against them. This went to the Characteristic of that person. This deal with this Case as a whole, and they should have been produce as order by this Court.

2. The Plaintiff argues that the Defendant can't object to an interrogatory under Rule 33(b)(1). Three fore the Defendants should have ~~one~~ produce their full Complete educational back ground, and not listed them, because there no way that I or ~~the~~ this Court will know if it's true, if they are not produce. Second, they was order to produce also ~~the~~ ^{any} Complaint or Serious Complaint or grievance. Three, They did not answer any of the interrogatories, because all of them say "This Defendant", which means their Counsel answer. This was not what the Court Order said.

3. The Plaintiff argues Rule 33(b)(5), because the Defendant failure to answer any of the interrogatories correctly.

4. The plaintiff request that the Defendant be Compel to answer the interrogatories and produce their full Complete Copies of their educational back ground, as order by the Court, and produce a Complete Copies of every Complaint, Grievance filed against them, because these thing are relevant to his case. If the Defendants are ~~not~~ not Compel to produce these interrogatories or answer them, it would Perjudice the plaintiff's whole case. The Defendants was order to answer 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, (See Doc. No. 80). The Defendants has fail to produce, or answer these interrogatories, they have a right to Object, but st.ill must answer them, because interrogatories are non objectable.

Wherefore, The pray, that the Defendants be Compel to answer interrogatories 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 as order by the Court and the Court on be set aside.

Wendy Davis

Certificate of Service

I hereby Certify that I have served a copy of the foregoing upon the Defendants Counsel, by placing EastHertling Corrs. Fac. Mail Box on June 4, 2007,

Wendy Davis